

Kaipara te Oranganui . Two Oceans Two Harbours

## Submission Form (Form 5) Submission on Proposed Kaipara District Plan

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY			
Date received ECEIVED	Section of the sectio		
Submitter ID:	1000XX000		
Kaipara District Council	6		

Form 5: Submissions on a Publicly Notified Proposed District Plan under Clause 6 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991

## Return your signed submission by Monday 30 June 2025 via:

Email:

districtplanreview@kaipara.govt.nz (subject line: Proposed District Plan Submission)
District Planning Team, Kaipara District Council, Private Bag 1001, Dargaville, 0340

Post: In person:

Kaipara District Council, 32 Hokianga Road, Dargaville; or

Kaipara District Council, 6 Molesworth Drive, Mangawhai

If you would prefer to complete your submission online, from 28 April 2025 please visit: www.kaipara.govt.nz/kaipara-district-plan-review/proposed-district-plan

All sections of this form need to be completed for your submission to be accepted. Your submission will be checked for completeness, and you may be contacted to fill in any missing information.					
Full Name: WARREN BRYANT Phone: 0274740728					
Organisation*: * the organisation that this submission is made on behalf of					
Email:					
Postal Address: 21 to 23 RIVER BJ DARGAVILLE					
Postcode: 0310					
Address for Service: name, email and postal address (if different from above):					
21 RIVER RD DARGAVILLE					
Trade Competition					
Pursuant to Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991, a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission may make a submission only if directly affected by an effect of the proposed policy statement or plan that:  a) adversely affects the environment; and b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.					
Please tick the sentence that applies to you:					
I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission; or					
I could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.					
If you have ticked this box please select one of the following:					
I <u>am</u> directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission					
I am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission					
Signature: M Bryant Date: 25/6/25					
(Signature of person making submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making the submission)					
Please note: all information contained in a submission under the Resource Management Act 1991, including names and addresses for service, becomes public information.					
I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission; or I do wish to be heard in support of my submission; and if so, I would be prepared to consider presenting my submission in a joint case with others making a similar submission at any hearing					

(1) The specific provisions of the Proposed Plan that my submission relates to are:		(2) My submission is that:  (include whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended and the reasons for your views.)		(3) I seek the following decisions from Kaipara District Council.  (Please give precise details for each provision. The more
Chapter/ Appendix/ Schedule/Maps	abjective/policy/ sale/standard/ overlay	Oppose/support R (in part or full)	ARE STATED IN LETTE ATTACHED	specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand your concerns.)
KDCaPlan 2025 Proposed	i v	THIS PROPOSES		
3 G RMA			<u> </u>	
(%)				÷
			r	
			LJ	

I note that the Resource Management Act 1991, which you rely on, puts no date on the identification of an historic heritage.

However in 2014 [fifteen years later] the Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Toanga Act [which replaced the Historic Places Act 1993] was enacted. It gave its purpose as -

"....to promote the identification, protection, preservation and conservation of the historical and cultural heritage of New Zealand". Which is what the council claims to be doing. This Act defines an archaeological site as any building or structure that "was associated with human activity that occurred before 1900...". My home was built in 1905.

My family were pioneers in the Kaipara. My father's grandfather and his family of 13 came to the Kaipara in 1900, and settled at Aoroa. They lived here and farmed at Bayly's Beach and Parore.

I love this district but resent the intrusion being proposed by Council.

Please remove 23 River Rd, Dargaville from the Heritage Schedule 1 within your proposed plan.

Signed Warren Bryant:

72.0.72

M Bryan

Witnessed:

## Submission of Warren Bryant of 23 River Rd, Dargaville on Proposed Kaipara District Plan

For 60 years I have owned and looked after my home at 23 River Road.

I have maintained and improved it. You have a list of the improvements I have made. I've had no support from anyone and have made many improvements, and at this time I intend doing the same.

I do not want my home made into a heritage house. I consider that it is my home – I own it and as long as I live here, this is how I would like it to be.

As I read it, Council is asking me to forego rights of ownership which have been mine as a freehold owner. I am unwilling to do that.

And by comparison I note that a building at Te Kopuru which most would agree has heritage status – the old hospital building - has not been listed. The explanation given to me was that it is in a dilapidated state.

When I compare that with my house, it seems as though I am being penalized because I have kept it in good condition.

And I am aware that the restrictions associated by defining a dwelling as a heritage building may act as a disincentive to any potential buyer. Rights of ownerhip should belong to the owner.

If the council wish to purchase my house, then they can do as they like with it.

Furthermore, the law that you quote is being inaccurately applied, largely because your information is flawed. E.g Mr Jones did not design the house – plans came from the Northern hemisphere. AND in the history that you have recorded, you state "... the lot was subdivided to its current extent in 1971. The Property has since passed through other hands and remains in private residential use."

I can testify that I have owned this property since 1965. It has not "passed through other hands".

25.6.25 EJBMat